1 2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT FEB 1 5 2017

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. 2:17-MJ-00286 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, **ORDER OF DETENTION** v. **KEVIN CALVEO** Defendant.

On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving: A. (X)

I.

- a crime of violence. 1. ()
- an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death. 2. ()
- a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence 3. (X) of ten or more years.
- any felony where the defendant has been convicted of two or more 4. () prior offenses described above.
- any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a 5. () minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C § 2250.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|----|--|
| 1 | B. () On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case |
| 2 | allegedly involving: |
| 3 | () On the further allegation by the Government of: |
| 4 | 1. () a serious risk that the defendant will flee. |
| 5 | 2. () a serious risk that the defendant will: |
| 6 | a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. |
| 7 | b. () threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or |
| 8 | attempt to do so. |
| 9 | C. The Government (X) is/ $()$ is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no |
| 10 | condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's |
| 11 | appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community. |
| 12 | II. |
| 13 | A. (X) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will |
| 14 | reasonably assure: |
| 15 | 1. (X) the appearance of the defendant as required. |
| 16 | (X) and/or |
| 17 | 2. (X) the safety of any person or the community. |
| 18 | B. (X) The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient |
| 19 | evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. |
| 20 | III. |
| 21 | The Court has considered: |
| 22 | A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the |
| 23 | offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor |
| 24 | victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; |
| 25 | B. the weight of evidence against the defendant; |
| 26 | C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and |
| 27 | D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community. |
| 28 | |

IV. 1 The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the 2 and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services arguments 3 Report/recommendation. V. 5 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: 6 As to flight risk: A. (X) 7 ☐ Lack of bail resources 8 ☐ Refusal to interview with Pretrial Services 9 ☐ No stable residence or employment 10 ☐ Previous failure to appear or violations or probation, parole, or 11 release 12 ■ Ties to foreign countries 13 ■ When the accused activity took place, defendant was on release on 14 bail from a deportation proceeding and was wearing an ankle location monitoring 15 While defendant has presented potential sureties for an appearance bond device. 16 without justification, the Court finds that this (in conjunction with other possible 17 conditions) does not outweigh the other factors indicating risk of flight. 18 19 B. (X) As to danger: 20 ☐ Nature of previous criminal convictions 21 ■ Allegations in present charging document 22 ☐ Substance abuse 23 ☐ Already in custody on state or federal offense 24 ■ Unrebutted presumption [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)] 25 ■ Affidavit of agent states that defendant was in a vehicle delivering 26 15 kg of cocaine and that defendant had a .380 bullet in his sock. A .380 pistol 27 was found near the site of the arrest. When the accused activity took place, 28

defendant was on release on bail from a deportation proceeding and was wearing 1 an ankle location monitoring device. The potential sureties presented by 2 defendant do not rebut the presumption of danger to others and the community and 3 would not reasonably assure (in conjunction with other conditions) the safety of 4 others and the community. 5 VI. 6 The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will: A. () 7 1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. 8 2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror. 9 B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: 10 11 VII. 12 A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial. 13 B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody 14 of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to 15 the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being 16 held in custody pending appeal. 17 C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable 18 opportunity for private consultation with counsel. 19 D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States or 20 on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the 21 corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to 22 a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with 23 24 a court proceeding. 25 26 27 DATED: 2/15/2017

28